

Midterm – 11:00–1:00 (2 hours), February 17, 2003 – Solutions

[30 pts] 1. The magnetic moment operator  $\vec{\mu}$  is a vector operator (*i.e.* a spherical tensor operator of rank 1).

[6] a) Show that the expectation value of  $\vec{\mu}$  in an angular momentum state  $|jm\rangle$  satisfies the relation

$$\langle jm' | \vec{\mu} | jm \rangle = \frac{\mu_B g_j}{\hbar} \langle jm' | \vec{J} | jm \rangle$$

where  $\vec{J}$  is the angular momentum operator, and the “ $g$ -factor”  $g_j$  is given by

$$g_j = \frac{1}{j\mu_B} \langle jj | \mu_z | jj \rangle$$

*This is basically a consequence of the projection theorem, which indicates that the expectation of any vector operator must be proportional to the expectation of angular momentum (which is a vector operator). Instead of writing out the complete projection theorem, all we need to note is that*

$$\langle jm' | \vec{\mu} | jm \rangle = \alpha_j \langle jm' | \vec{J} | jm \rangle$$

*where  $\alpha_j$  is a possibly  $j$  dependent (but  $m$  and  $m'$  independent) constant of proportionality. We may compute  $\alpha_j$  by looking at the  $z$  component of  $\vec{\mu}$  and by taking  $m = m' = j$ . This gives*

$$\langle jj | \mu_z | jj \rangle = \alpha_j \langle jj | J_z | jj \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_j = \frac{\langle jj | \mu_z | jj \rangle}{\langle jj | J_z | jj \rangle} = \frac{j\mu_B g_j}{j\hbar} = \frac{\mu_B g_j}{\hbar}$$

*This proves the relation.*

[18] b) For a state with both orbital angular momentum  $\ell$  and spin 1/2, the magnetic moment operator may be written as

$$\vec{\mu} = \frac{\mu_B}{\hbar} (g_\ell \vec{L} + 2\vec{S})$$

where  $g_\ell$  is the  $g$ -factor of the angular momentum  $|\ell m\rangle$  state (*i.e.* the orbital part). By adding spin 1/2 to angular momentum  $\ell$  (greater than 0), show that the total angular momentum  $j = \ell \pm \frac{1}{2}$  states have  $g$ -factors

$$g_{j=\ell+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\ell g_\ell + 1}{\ell + \frac{1}{2}}, \quad g_{j=\ell-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{(\ell + 1)g_\ell - 1}{\ell + \frac{1}{2}}$$

*It is probably easiest to use the projection theorem, given by*

$$\langle jm' | \vec{\mu} | jm \rangle = \frac{\langle jm | \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{J} | jm \rangle}{j(j+1)\hbar^2} \langle jm' | \vec{J} | jm \rangle$$

Comparing with part a), this indicates that

$$g_j = \frac{\langle jm | \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{J} | jm \rangle}{j(j+1)\mu_B \hbar}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{J} &= \frac{\mu_B}{\hbar} \vec{J} \cdot (g_\ell \vec{L} + 2\vec{S}) = \frac{\mu_B}{\hbar} (g_\ell \vec{J}^2 + (2 - g_\ell) \vec{J} \cdot \vec{S}) \\ &= \frac{\mu_B}{\hbar} (g_\ell \vec{J}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2 - g_\ell)(\vec{J}^2 + \vec{S}^2 - \vec{L}^2)) \end{aligned}$$

As a result

$$g_j = \frac{g_\ell j(j+1) + (1 - \frac{1}{2}g_\ell)(j(j+1) + s(s+1) - \ell(\ell+1))}{j(j+1)}$$

Substituting in  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $j = \ell \pm \frac{1}{2}$  then gives the correct  $g$ -factors.

Note that it is also possible to compute  $\langle jj | \mu_z | jj \rangle$  directly using Clebsch-Gordon coefficients for adding angular momentum  $\ell \otimes \frac{1}{2}$ , or by explicit construction of the coupled representation. In particular, the  $g$ -factors are given by

$$g_{j=\ell \pm \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\langle \ell \pm \frac{1}{2} \ell \pm \frac{1}{2} | \mu_z | \ell \pm \frac{1}{2} \ell \pm \frac{1}{2} \rangle}{(\ell \pm \frac{1}{2})\mu_B} = \frac{1}{\ell \pm \frac{1}{2}} \langle \ell \pm \frac{1}{2} \ell \pm \frac{1}{2} | \frac{g_\ell L_z + 2S_z}{\hbar} | \ell \pm \frac{1}{2} \ell \pm \frac{1}{2} \rangle$$

Since the highest weight combination  $|\ell + \frac{1}{2} \ell + \frac{1}{2}\rangle$  is given simply by

$$|\ell + \frac{1}{2} \ell + \frac{1}{2}\rangle = |\ell\ell\rangle |\uparrow\rangle \quad (1)$$

we find

$$g_{j=\ell + \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\ell + \frac{1}{2}} \langle \ell\ell | \langle \uparrow | \frac{g_\ell L_z + 2S_z}{\hbar} | \ell\ell \rangle | \uparrow \rangle = \frac{g_\ell \ell + 1}{\ell + \frac{1}{2}}$$

To obtain  $g_{j=\ell - \frac{1}{2}}$ , we first act with the lowering operator  $J_-$  on (1) to obtain

$$|\ell + \frac{1}{2} \ell - \frac{1}{2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\ell + 1}} \left[ |\ell\ell\rangle |\downarrow\rangle + \sqrt{2\ell} |\ell \ell - 1\rangle |\uparrow\rangle \right]$$

By orthogonality, this indicates that the  $|\ell - \frac{1}{2} \ell - \frac{1}{2}\rangle$  state must be (up to a phase which does not matter for this problem)

$$|\ell - \frac{1}{2} \ell - \frac{1}{2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\ell + 1}} \left[ \sqrt{2\ell} |\ell\ell\rangle |\downarrow\rangle - |\ell \ell - 1\rangle |\uparrow\rangle \right]$$

As a result

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{j=\ell-\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{(\ell - \frac{1}{2})(2\ell + 1)} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \sqrt{2\ell} \langle \ell\ell | \langle \downarrow | - \langle \ell\ell-1 | \langle \uparrow | \right] \frac{g_\ell L_z + 2S_z}{\hbar} \left[ \sqrt{2\ell} |\ell\ell\rangle | \downarrow \rangle - |\ell\ell-1\rangle | \uparrow \rangle \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{(\ell - \frac{1}{2})(2\ell + 1)} \left[ 2\ell \langle \ell\ell | \langle \downarrow | \frac{g_\ell L_z + 2S_z}{\hbar} | \ell\ell \rangle | \downarrow \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \langle \ell\ell-1 | \langle \uparrow | \frac{g_\ell L_z + 2S_z}{\hbar} | \ell\ell-1 \rangle | \uparrow \rangle \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{(\ell - \frac{1}{2})(2\ell + 1)} \left[ 2\ell(g_\ell \ell - 1) + (g_\ell(\ell - 1) + 1) \right] \\
&= \frac{(\ell + 1)g_\ell - 1}{\ell + \frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

- [6] c) Show that the off diagonal matrix element  $\langle \ell + \frac{1}{2} \ell - \frac{1}{2} | \mu_z | \ell - \frac{1}{2} \ell - \frac{1}{2} \rangle$  must vanish when  $g_\ell = 2$ .

When  $g_\ell = 2$ , the magnetic moment operator becomes

$$\vec{\mu} = \frac{2\mu_B}{\hbar} \vec{J}$$

which is simply proportional to the total angular momentum operator. Since total angular momentum  $\vec{J}$  cannot change  $j = \ell - \frac{1}{2}$  to  $j = \ell + \frac{1}{2}$ , the off diagonal matrix element must vanish.

- [35 pts] 2. Consider two coupled harmonic oscillators, with identical masses but different spring constants ( $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ ), described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \left( \frac{p_1^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega_1^2 x_1^2 \right) + \left( \frac{p_2^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega_2^2 x_2^2 \right) + \lambda x_1 x_2$$

The coupling term,  $\lambda x_1 x_2$ , should be treated as a perturbation. We focus on the ground state,  $|00\rangle$ , and the first two excited states,  $|10\rangle$  and  $|01\rangle$  (the states are labeled by  $|n_1 n_2\rangle$  where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  correspond to the first and second harmonic oscillator, respectively).

- [14] a) Show that the first order in  $\lambda$  corrections to the energies of the above three states vanish. Then find the energies of these states to second order in  $\lambda$ .

*This problem is best approached using creation/annihilation operators. For  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , we have*

$$x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_1}} (a_1 + a_1^\dagger), \quad x_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_2}} (a_2 + a_2^\dagger) \quad (2)$$

In terms of these operators, the perturbation is

$$V = \lambda x_1 x_2 = \frac{\lambda \hbar}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} (a_1 + a_1^\dagger)(a_2 + a_2^\dagger)$$

This indicates that the perturbation must change the oscillator numbers by  $\pm 1$ , ie we have selection rules  $\Delta n_1 = \pm 1$  and  $\Delta n_2 = \pm 1$ . This is of course a consequence of parity (for the first and the second oscillators, independently).

We start by noting that the zeroth order energies are

$$E_{n_1 n_2}^{(0)} = (n_1 + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega_1 + (n_2 + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega_2$$

for the state  $|n_1 n_2\rangle$ . In particular, for the above three states, we have

$$E_{00}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar(\omega_1 + \omega_2), E_{10}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar(3\omega_1 + \omega_2), E_{01}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar(\omega_1 + 3\omega_2)$$

Since  $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ , the energies are non-degenerate (except for possible special cases when the ratio  $\omega_1/\omega_2$  is rational; this cannot arise for the states we are interested in).

Turning now to perturbation theory, it should be obvious that the selection rules  $\Delta n_1 = \pm 1$  and  $\Delta n_2 = \pm 2$  forbid any first order in  $\lambda$  corrections to the energy. As a result, we must go to second order. Before we do so, we compute the matrix elements of the perturbation using (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_1 + 1 \ n_2 + 1 | V | n_1 n_2 \rangle &= \frac{\lambda \hbar}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \sqrt{(n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)} \\ \langle n_1 + 1 \ n_2 - 1 | V | n_1 n_2 \rangle &= \frac{\lambda \hbar}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \sqrt{(n_1 + 1)n_2} \\ \langle n_1 - 1 \ n_2 + 1 | V | n_1 n_2 \rangle &= \frac{\lambda \hbar}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \sqrt{n_1(n_2 + 1)} \\ \langle n_1 - 1 \ n_2 - 1 | V | n_1 n_2 \rangle &= \frac{\lambda \hbar}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \sqrt{n_1 n_2} \end{aligned}$$

For the ground state  $|00\rangle$ , we must consider the  $|11\rangle$  intermediate state. The second order energy shift is given by

$$E_{00}^{(2)} = \frac{|\langle 11 | V | 00 \rangle|^2}{-\hbar(\omega_1 + \omega_2)} = -\frac{\hbar \lambda^2}{4m^2 \omega_1 \omega_2} \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2}$$

For the state  $|10\rangle$ , we must instead consider both  $|01\rangle$  and  $|21\rangle$  as intermediate states

$$E_{10}^{(2)} = \frac{|\langle 01 | V | 10 \rangle|^2}{\hbar(\omega_1 - \omega_2)} + \frac{|\langle 21 | V | 10 \rangle|^2}{-\hbar(\omega_1 + \omega_2)} = \frac{\hbar \lambda^2}{4m^2 \omega_1 \omega_2} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_1 - \omega_2} - \frac{2}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} \right)$$

For the state  $|01\rangle$ , we do not actually have to compute anything, but we can simply note from symmetry that its energy is related to that of the  $|10\rangle$  state by simply interchanging  $\omega_1 \leftrightarrow \omega_2$ . As a result, the energies of the first three states are (up to second order)

$$\begin{aligned} E_{00} &= \frac{1}{2}\hbar(\omega_1 + \omega_2) - \frac{\hbar\lambda^2}{4m^2\omega_1\omega_2} \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} \\ E_{10} &= \frac{1}{2}\hbar(3\omega_1 + \omega_2) + \frac{\hbar\lambda^2}{4m^2\omega_1\omega_2} \frac{3\omega_2 - \omega_1}{\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2} \\ E_{01} &= \frac{1}{2}\hbar(\omega_1 + 3\omega_2) + \frac{\hbar\lambda^2}{4m^2\omega_1\omega_2} \frac{3\omega_1 - \omega_2}{\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2} \end{aligned}$$

- [14] b) Compute the expectation  $\langle \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2 \rangle = \langle x_1 x_2 \rangle - \langle x_1 \rangle \langle x_2 \rangle$  for the ground state of this system, up to first order in  $\lambda$ .

To compute the expectations, we must first work out the first order shift in the ground state

$$|00\rangle = |00\rangle^{(0)} + \frac{\langle 11|V|00\rangle}{-\hbar(\omega_1 + \omega_2)} |11\rangle^{(0)} = |00\rangle^{(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}} \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} |11\rangle^{(0)}$$

The expectations  $\langle x_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle x_2 \rangle$  vanish because  $|00\rangle$  has even parity under either  $x_1 \rightarrow -x_1$  or  $x_2 \rightarrow -x_2$  (this is the same result as one gets from the selection rules). So we have simply

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2 \rangle &= \langle x_1 x_2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}} \left( \langle 00| - \frac{\lambda}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}} \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} \langle 11| \right) \\ &\quad \times (a_1 + a_1^\dagger)(a_2 + a_2^\dagger) \left( |00\rangle - \frac{\lambda}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}} \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} |11\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}} \left( \langle 00| - \frac{\lambda}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}} \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} \langle 11| \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( |11\rangle - \frac{\lambda}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}} \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} |00\rangle \right) \\ &= -\frac{\hbar\lambda}{2m^2\omega_1\omega_2} \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} \end{aligned}$$

(correct to first order in  $\lambda$ ). Of course, this expectation must vanish when  $\lambda = 0$ , since in that case the two oscillators would be completely independent. Note that we may ignore wavefunction renormalization, as that only shows up at second order and higher in  $\lambda$ .

- [7] c) So far we have assumed that  $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ . Show that the perturbative energies may pick up first order in  $\lambda$  corrections when  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$  (you do not have to compute any actual corrections).

When  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ , the states  $|10\rangle$  and  $|01\rangle$  are degenerate in energy. In this case, we must use degenerate perturbation theory, which for these states involve diagonalizing the perturbation matrix

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{\hbar\lambda}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This will give a non-zero contribution linear in  $\lambda$

$$E_{10 \text{ and } 01}^{(1)} = \pm \frac{\hbar\lambda}{2m\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}}$$

- [35 pts] 3. Consider a rotator with orbital angular momentum operator  $\vec{L}$ , spin operator  $\vec{S}$  (taken to be spin 1/2), and Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + H_{\text{sa}} = A\vec{J}^2 + B\vec{J} \cdot \vec{S} \quad (A \gg B > 0)$$

where  $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$ .

- [6] a) Ignoring the “spin-axis” interaction  $H_{\text{sa}}$ , write down the eigenenergies of the zeroth order Hamiltonian,  $H_0 = A\vec{J}^2$ , and show that the eigenstates may be labeled by the coupled representation  $|\ell \frac{1}{2}; jm_j\rangle$  (here  $\frac{1}{2}$  indicates the spin). Show that the ground state is four-fold degenerate (and corresponds to  ${}^2S_{1/2} = \{|0 \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\rangle, |0 \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} -\frac{1}{2}\rangle\}$  and  ${}^2P_{1/2} = \{|1 \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\rangle, \{|1 \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} -\frac{1}{2}\rangle\}$ ).

Since we have  $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$ , we may work in either the uncoupled or the coupled representation. Of course, for  $H_0 = A\vec{J}^2$ , it should be obvious that the eigenenergies in the coupled basis are simply  $E_j = A\hbar^2 j(j+1)$ . Since the system is spin 1/2, we are adding orbital angular momentum  $\ell$  to spin 1/2, resulting in total  $j = \ell \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . This indicates that  $j$  must be half-integral, and that any eigenstate of  $H_0$  of a given  $j$  is degenerate, with two possible values of  $\ell$  given by  $\ell = j + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\ell = j - \frac{1}{2}$ .

The eigenstates and eigenenergies are thus

$$|(\ell = j + \frac{1}{2})\frac{1}{2}; jm_j\rangle \text{ and } |(\ell = j - \frac{1}{2})\frac{1}{2}; jm_j\rangle \quad E = A\hbar^2 j(j+1)$$

The ground state corresponds to  $j = \frac{1}{2}$ , and so may be labeled by  ${}^2S_{1/2}$  and  ${}^2P_{1/2}$ .

- [6] b) Now include  $H_{\text{sa}}$ , and find the eigenenergies of  $H = H_0 + H_{\text{sa}}$ . Show that the degeneracy between the  $j = \ell + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $j = \ell - \frac{1}{2}$  states is lifted, and that the ground state is the doubly degenerate  ${}^2P_{1/2}$  state.

Working in the coupled representation, we write

$$H_{\text{sa}} = B\vec{J} \cdot \vec{S} = \frac{1}{2}B(\vec{J}^2 + \vec{S}^2 - \vec{L}^2) = \frac{1}{2}B\hbar^2(j(j+1) + s(s+1) - \ell(\ell+1))$$

Substituting in  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and either  $j = \ell + \frac{1}{2}$  or  $j = \ell - \frac{1}{2}$ , we find

$$E_{\text{sa}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}B\hbar^2(\ell + \frac{3}{2}) & j = \ell + \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}B\hbar^2(\ell - \frac{1}{2}) & j = \ell - \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

As a result, the energies get split

$$\begin{aligned} |(\ell = j + \frac{1}{2})\frac{1}{2}; jm_j\rangle & E = A\hbar^2j(j+1) - \frac{1}{2}B\hbar^2j \\ |(\ell = j - \frac{1}{2})\frac{1}{2}; jm_j\rangle & E = A\hbar^2j(j+1) + \frac{1}{2}B\hbar^2(j+1) \end{aligned}$$

For the ground state, this indicates that the  $\ell = 1$  (the  ${}^2P_{1/2}$ ) state is lower in energy. In fact, for any given  $j$  level, the state with higher  $\ell$  value is the one with lower energy.

- [6] c) We now turn on an electric field along the  $z$  axis, inducing a Stark interaction

$$H_{\text{Stark}} = d_e\mathcal{E}\hat{z} = d_e\mathcal{E}\cos\theta$$

Explain why the linear Stark effect vanishes (in all eigenstates) for weak electric fields,  $d_e\mathcal{E} \ll B\hbar^2$ .

Recall that the linear Stark effect is a first order perturbation theory effect. Since the operator  $\hat{z}$  is the  $z$ -component of a vector operator  $\vec{r}/r$ , we see that  $H_{\text{Stark}}$  is an odd-parity operator, and hence gives rise to a selection rule  $\Delta\ell = \pm 1$ . From part b), we have seen that states at any given energy level have a definite  $\ell$  value. As a result, the parity selection rule forbids a first order correction, and the linear Stark effect vanishes.

Even though this is a different system, this parity argument is identical to that used for a hydrogen atom in an electric field.

- [17] d) For strong electric fields, however, we may ignore  $H_{\text{sa}}$ , and consider only  $H_{\text{Stark}}$  as a perturbation to  $H_0$ . In this case, find the first order energy shifts and correct zeroth order eigenstates for the initially degenerate ground state ( ${}^2S_{1/2}$  and  ${}^2P_{1/2}$ ).

Ignoring  $H_{\text{sa}}$ , the result of part a) indicates that the ground state is four-fold degenerate. However  $H_{\text{Stark}}$ , being the 0-component of a spherical vector operator, yields a selection rule  $\Delta m = 0$ . This indicates that the  $m_j = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $m_j = -\frac{1}{2}$  subspaces are independent. In the basis given in part a)

$$|0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle \quad |1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle$$

the perturbation matrix is

$$V = d_e\mathcal{E} \begin{pmatrix} \langle 0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} | \hat{z} | 0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \rangle & \langle 0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} | \hat{z} | 1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \rangle \\ \langle 1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} | \hat{z} | 0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \rangle & \langle 1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} | \hat{z} | 1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

However, the diagonal elements vanish because of the  $\Delta\ell = \pm 1$  selection rule. Thus

$$\mathbf{V} = d_e \mathcal{E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \langle 0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} | \hat{z} | 1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \rangle \\ \langle 1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} | \hat{z} | 0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Changing to the uncoupled basis, we note that

$$|0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\rangle = |00\rangle|\uparrow\rangle, \quad |1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|11\rangle|\downarrow\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|10\rangle|\uparrow\rangle$$

and hence

$$\langle 0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2} | \hat{z} | 1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2} \rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \langle 00 | \hat{z} | 10 \rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \int Y_0^0(\theta, \varphi) \cos\theta Y_1^0(\theta, \varphi) d\Omega = -\frac{1}{3}$$

As a result, for  $m_j = \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\mathbf{V} = -\frac{1}{3} d_e \mathcal{E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A similar result may be obtained for  $m_j = -\frac{1}{2}$ . So the first order eigenenergies and eigenstates are

$$E^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \pm \frac{1}{3} d_e \mathcal{E} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\rangle \pm |1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\rangle) \\ \mp \frac{1}{3} d_e \mathcal{E} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\rangle \pm |1\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\rangle) \end{cases}$$